

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,770	09/19/2003	Pankaj Jay Pasricha	D6475	D6475 6393	
75	90 07/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Benjamin Aaron Adler			KIM, JENNIFER M		
ADLER & ASSOCIATES 8011 Candle Lane Houston, TX 77071			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/665,770	PASRICHA, PANKAJ JAY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jennifer Kim	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 s 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-18 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	∆ □ taka-i 0	(DTO 412)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7 and 10-16, drawn to a method for treating an individual having irritable bowel syndrome comprising step of administering a luminally active anti-inflammatory, classified in class 514, subclass 167.
- II. Claims 1, 8, 10 and 17, drawn to a method for treating an individual having irritable bowel syndrome comprising step of administering immunosuppressive compound, classified in class 514, subclass 291.
- III. Claims 9 and 18, drawn to a method for treating an individual having nonulcer dyspepsia or noncardiac chest pain comprising step of administering a luminally active anti-inflammatory, classified in class 514, subclass 167.
- IV. Claims 9 and 18, drawn to a method for treating an individual having nonulcer dyspepsia or noncardiac chest pain comprising step of administering immunosuppressive, classified in class 514, subclass 291.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operations because each of the

Art Unit: 1617

active compound to be utilized have its own unique and unrelated chemical and physical properties due to its unrelated chemical structural moiety.

Inventions Groups I&II and Groups III&IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions effects because each of the medical disorders to be treated have different known etiology involving different biological pathways, different affected loci, and different known treatment.

Inventions Group III and Group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operations because each of the active compound to be utilized have its own unique and unrelated chemical and physical properties due to its unrelated chemical structural moiety.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, required searches would place serious undue burden on the examiner, particularly, required non-patent literature search. Therefore, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If Applicants elects **Group I**, following election of species is required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: A) anti-inflammatory (beclomethasone, budesonide, clobetasol, halbetasol, flucinonide, halcinonide, mometasone, alclometasone, triamcinolone or fluocinolone)

The species are independent or distinct because each of the anti-inflammatory to be utilized above A) has its own unique chemical/physical properties.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed ultimate species A) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, anti-inflammatory is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

If Applicant elects **Group II**, following election of species is required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Art Unit: 1617

B) immunosuppressive compound (methotrexate, azothiorpine, 6-mercaptopurine cyclosporine FK 506)

The species are independent or distinct because each of the immunosuppressive compound to be utilized above B) has its own unique chemical/physical properties.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed ultimate species of B) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, immunosuppressive compound is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1617

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-0628. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 6:30 am to 3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jennifer Kim
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1617

Jmk July 10, 2006